Letters to Editor

Is it time to reconsider indications for post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation?

Sir,

These days most of the work in early stage breast cancer is focused on improving methods to predict the chances of recurrence in an individual patient and also on ways to decrease this recurrence, both locoregional and systemic, by incorporating a strategy of individualized, risk based treatment approach. However, there is still a long way to go, as with the currently available adjuvant chemotherapy, radiotherapy, hormonal therapy and molecular therapy the 10 year relapse free survival rates in early stage breast cancer range from 50% to 80%, across various studies and across various risk groups. Indications for these adjuvant therapies, drugs used along with their dosage and duration and techniques employed in radiation continue to be re-defined as newer and newer data comes up.

Here, we will be discussing on two probable indications of post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation in early stage breast cancer, which are currently not the standard of care but have enough evidence of their usefulness to become the standard of care in coming time. First is T1-T2 disease with 1-3 lymph nodes involvement and second is triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) T1-T2 disease with no lymph nodes involved. We have close

to 80 years of experience with post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation.^[1,2] These so called older studies have become less relevant in today's world because they were carried out in an era when adjuvant chemotherapy was not well-defined and also these studies employed older techniques of radiation with variable doses. None of these studies showed overall survival (OS) benefit, some showed disease free survival (DFS) advantage but all of them showed a decrease in the rate of loco regional recurrence. With the advent of well-defined adjuvant chemotherapy and hormonal therapy the role of post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation is redefined. The Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group (DBCG) 82b trial showed that at 10 year follow-up, incorporating post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation in high-risk premenopausal patients, i.e., those with T3-T4 tumors or lymph node positive disease resulted in 14% and 9% improvement in DFS and OS, respectively. [3] The same group in the DBCG 82c trial in post-menopausal high-risk breast cancer patients demonstrated that post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation resulted in 12% and 9% improvement in DFS and OS, respectively at 10 year follow-up.^[4] Because of these studies post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation became a standard of care in high-risk patients, i.e., T3-T4 or $N \ge 2$ in 2001. [5] Similar advantage of post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation was also demonstrated by the British Columbia Randomized Trial in lymph node positive patients at 20 years of follow-up. [6] Sub-group analysis from these largest trials until the date on this issue showed that the benefit of post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation extended to all lymph node positive patients irrespective of the number of lymph nodes, i.e., 1-3 versus ≥4 lymph nodes. [3,4,6] This appears to be logical as the cut-off of 3 or >3 is arbitrary and there is no reason to believe that a patient with 3 lymph nodes involved as compared to >3 has a low enough chance of recurrence so as to preclude her from an entire modality of adjuvant treatment. Furthermore, it has been shown that the chance of recurrence bear a near linear correlation with the number of axillary lymph nodes involved. However, one drawback cited in these studies in the low number of axillary lymph nodes resected, median of 7 in Danish trials and 11 in British Columbia trial. It was argued that the benefit of radiation observed could be due to suboptimal lymph node dissection. To address this criticism, another sub-group analysis from the Danish trials considered the subset of 1,152 node-positive patients with eight or more nodes removed (i.e., >median). [7] The overall 15-year survival rate was increased by 9% in patients with either one to three positive nodes or four or more positive nodes. Although the patients with four or more positive nodes had a far greater improvement in local control with RT than did the group with one to three nodes, the survival benefits were similar in both groups.

Another area where role of post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation is being redefined is early stage, node negative TNBC. TNBC patients accounts for about 15% of all types of breast cancers, with a relatively poor outcome after treatment.[8] They are not candidates for adjuvant hormonal and molecular therapy. The only adjuvant therapy recommended in T1-T2, N0 TNBC is chemotherapy. Despite this the recurrence rates in TNBC are high and survival rates poor. [9] Radiotherapy as an adjuvant modality in early stage TNBC has been explored for any benefit in survival outcomes. In a study of 681 patients with stage I-II TNBC addition of radiotherapy after adjuvant chemotherapy has resulted in significant improvement in recurrence free survival (RFS) and OS.[10] After a median follow-up of 86.5 months, 5-year RFS rates were 88.3% and 74.6% for adjuvant chemotherapy plus radiation and adjuvant chemotherapy alone, respectively. Five-year OS were 90.4% and 78.7% for adjuvant chemotherapy plus radiation and adjuvant chemotherapy alone, respectively. The benefit shown is comparable to the benefit of trastuzumab in Human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER-2) positive cases as per the recent 10 year final joint analysis of National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project (NSABP) B-31 and North Central Cancer Treatment Group (NCCTG) N9831 trials presented at 2012 San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium. This benefit is also in concordance with another study demonstrating the superiority of breast conserving surgery and radiotherapy over mastectomy in terms of loco-regional RFS.[11] Analysis carried out by DBCG on the patients enrolled in DBCG 82b and 82c trials to find out the impact of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and HER-2 status on the benefits derived from post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation in high-risk patients showed that patients with TNBC had relatively low DFS benefit compared to hormone receptor positive patients and no OS advantage.[12] From these studies we conclude that in TNBC patients addition of post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation is more effective (in terms of RFS and OS) in T1-T2, N0 disease as compared to high-risk T3-T4, node positive disease. This stands in contradiction to our current practice of using irradiation in high-risk TNBC and not using the same in early stage, node negative disease. One possible explanation of this paradox is that node positive TNBC is already a systemic disease at outset considering the aggressive biology of the disease, not amenable to control by addition of local radiation. Node negative TNBC is more likely to be a localized disease, which can be better controlled by local radiation.

Thus, we believe that post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation has a role in T1-2, N1 disease irrespective of ER, PR and HER-2 status and also in T1-2, N0 TNBC. It should be strongly considered in these two settings.

Alok Gupta

Department of Medical Oncology, TMH, Parel, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India. E-mail: alokqupta16@yahoo.co.in

REFERENCES

- Høst H, Brennhovd IO, Loeb M. Postoperative radiotherapy in breast cancer – Long-term results from the Oslo study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1986;12:727-32.
- Fisher B, Slack NH, Cavanaugh PJ, Gardner B, Ravdin RG. Postoperative radiotherapy in the treatment of breast cancer: Results of the NSABP clinical trial. Ann Surg 1970;172:711-32.
- Overgaard M, Hansen PS, Overgaard J, Rose C, Andersson M, Bach F, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in high-risk premenopausal women with breast cancer who receive adjuvant chemotherapy. Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group 82b Trial. N Engl J Med 1997;337:949-55.
- Overgaard M, Jensen MB, Overgaard J, Hansen PS, Rose C, Andersson M, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in high-risk postmenopausal breast-cancer patients given adjuvant tamoxifen: Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group DBCG 82c randomised trial. Lancet 1999;353:1641-8.
- Recht A, Edge SB, Solin LJ, Robinson DS, Estabrook A, Fine RE, et al. Postmastectomy radiotherapy: Clinical practice guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.

- J Clin Oncol 2001:19:1539-69.
- Ragaz J, Olivotto IA, Spinelli JJ, Phillips N, Jackson SM, Wilson KS, et al. Locoregional radiation therapy in patients with high-risk breast cancer receiving adjuvant chemotherapy: 20-year results of the British Columbia randomized trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005;97:116-26.
- Overgaard M, Nielsen HM, Overgaard J. Is the benefit of postmastectomy irradiation limited to patients with four or more positive nodes, as recommended in international consensus reports? A subgroup analysis of the DBCG 82 b and c randomized trials. Radiother Oncol 2007;82:247-53.
- 8. Cleator S, Heller W, Coombes RC. Triple-negative breast cancer: Therapeutic options. Lancet Oncol 2007;8:235-44.
- Foulkes WD, Smith IE, Reis-Filho JS. Triple-negative breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2010;363:1938-48.
- 10. Wang J, Shi M, Ling R, Xia Y, Luo S, Fu X, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy in triple-negative breast carcinoma: A prospective randomized controlled multi-center trial. Radiother Oncol 2011;100:200-4.
- 11. Abdulkarim BS, Cuartero J, Hanson J, Deschênes J,

- Lesniak D, Sabri S. Increased risk of locoregional recurrence for women with T1-2N0 triple-negative breast cancer treated with modified radical mastectomy without adjuvant radiation therapy compared with breast-conserving therapy. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:2852-8.
- Kyndi M, Sørensen FB, Knudsen H, Overgaard M, Nielsen HM, Overgaard J, et al. Estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, HER-2, and response to postmastectomy radiotherapy in high-risk breast cancer: The Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:1419-26.

Access this article online Quick Response Code: Website: www.ijmpo.org DOI: 10.4103/0971-5851.116226