

Tobacco-related cancers in India: A review of incidence reported from population-based cancer registries

Smita Asthana,

Rakshit S. Patil,

Satyanarayana Labani

Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, National Institute of Cancer Prevention and Research, Indian Council of Medical Research, I-7, Sector-39, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Satyanarayana Labani,
Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, National Institute of Cancer Prevention and Research, Indian Council of Medical Research, I-7, Sector-39, Noida, Uttar Pradesh - 201 301, India.
E-mail: satyanarayanalabani@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Tobacco related cancers (TRC) account for major share of all cancers and updated of incidence data are helpful in policy changes. The aim was to present an update of TRCs on age-adjusted incidence data and corresponding lifetime risk of developing TRC for different regions of the country. **Methods:** The data for this study were obtained from published reports of 25 population-based cancer registries (PBCRs) in India. The PBCRs in different parts of India were divided into seven regions such as North, South, Central, Northeast, West, Rural West, and East. Data indicators such as age-adjusted rates (AARs) of incidence and the cumulative risks of TRCs up to the age of 64 years for each of the 10 TRC sites of either sex in each of 25 registries were obtained from the National Cancer Registry Programme reports. **Results:** Among all TRCs, esophagus, lung, hypopharynx, and mouth are the leading sites for both males and females. Males in Northeast region had the highest risk 1 in 27 of developing esophageal cancer, 1 in 67 for cancer of lungs and hypopharynx, followed by 1 in 143 for both mouth and tongue cancers. Females also had the highest risk of esophagus and lungs (1 in 63 female) and cancer of mouth (1 in 250) in Northeast region. Proportion of TRC in comparison of all cancer ranged from 11–25% for men and 3–18% for women. **Conclusions:** Proportion of TRC in relation to all cancers was still high in different registries of India including the Northeast region.

Key words: Cancer registry, incidence, India, population-based cancer registries, tobacco-related cancers

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a leading cause of disease worldwide with an estimated 14.1 million new cancer cases occurring in 2012.^[1] Seventeen million new cases are expected worldwide by 2020 with three-fourth of the cancer-related deaths occurring in developing countries of the world.^[2] Out of all cancers, tobacco-related cancer (TRC) accounts for major share. If the current trends in smoking and population growth continue, the number of current smokers is expected to reach 2 billion worldwide by 2030.^[3] With the decline of tobacco use in many industrialized countries, the geography of smoking has shifted from the developed to the developing world, especially for men. About 50% of men and 9% of women are current smokers in developing countries, compared with 35% of men and 22% of women in high-resource countries.^[4]

The WHO estimates that one out of two young people who start smoking and continue smoking throughout their lives will lead to TRC.^[5] Hence, TRCs deserve a close monitoring of incidence to prioritize the medical care resources and plan the

cancer control programs. A study on the risk of developing cancer in India in the age group of 35–70 + years showed that the probability of developing tobacco related cancers was high in males (4.75%) as compared to females (2.16%). The study also stated that out of the total cancer cases nearly 45% in males and 20% in females are due to tobacco use.^[5]

The proportion of TRCs in India in relation to other cancers ranges from 35% (Bengaluru) to 50% (Bhopal) among males. Trend for TRCs in various major cancer registries are reported from North east cancer registry of India.^[6,7] Cancer related to tobacco use is preventable through primary prevention. Reporting burden of TRCs from time to time in respect to geographical distribution of Indian population-based cancer registries (PBCRs) data would be helpful for action on preventive measures through policies related to control of disease. The study presents

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Asthana S, Patil RS, Labani S. Tobacco-related cancers in India: A review of incidence reported from population-based cancer registries. Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol 2016;37:152-7.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:
www.ijmpo.org

DOI:
10.4103/0971-5851.190357

an update of incidence data of TRCs from a recent report of National Cancer Registry Programme (NCRP) in the form of age-adjusted incidence rate (AAR) and an easily understandable parameter on magnitude of lifetime risk of developing TRC for different regions of the country.

METHODS

The data for this study obtained from published reports of 25 PBCRs in India.^[8] The reports are compiled by NCRP of Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), and data are available in public domain. Cancer registries cover different parts of India for urban and rural populations. The PBCRs in different parts across the country were divided into seven regions for the purpose of the present study as reported earlier by us.^[9,10] The regions were as follows - North: Delhi; South: Bengaluru, Chennai, Kollam, Thiruvananthapuram; Central: Bhopal; Northeast: Cachar District, Kamrup urban District, Manipur State, Mizoram, Nagaland, Meghalaya, Sikkim, and Tripura State; West: Mumbai, Nagpur, Pune, Ahmedabad (urban), and Barshi; (extended); Rural West: Barshi (rural) and Ahmedabad (rural); and East: Kolkata.

Data on all the important sites of cancer considered to be tobacco related were selected. The data on ten TRC sites (along with International Classification of Diseases code) collected from each cancer registry were lip (C00), tongue (C01–C02), mouth (C03–C06), oropharynx (C10), hypopharynx (C12–C13), pharynx (C14), esophagus (C15), larynx (C32), lung (C33–C34), and urinary bladder (C67).

Data indicators such as age-adjusted rates (AARs) of incidence and the cumulative risks of TRCs up to age of 64 years for each of the ten TRC sites of either sex in each of 25 registries were obtained from NCRP source. The indicators computed by NCRP were based on the following formulae: *Cumulative risk = $100 \times (1 - \exp [-\text{cumulative rate}/100])$. Where cumulative rate = $(5 \times \sum [\text{ASpR}] \times 100)/100000$ and ASpR is age-specific incidence rates. An easy to understand epidemiological indicator, one in a number of persons likely to develop TRC in the lifetime during 0–64 years was computed by us for each TRC site in each registry. The indicator for one in a number of persons likely to develop TRC site was calculated by $100/\text{cumulative risk}$. Data on indicators, namely AARs and one in number of persons develop cancer were summarized for both the sexes in each of the cancer registries were presented region-wise in the form of ranges. Year of data reporting for various registries was as given in Table 1.^[8]

RESULTS

The indicators of AARs and one in a number of persons likely to develop cancer for all TRC sites in 0–64 years of age were presented for men and women, respectively,

Table 1: Registry area for data collected on tobacco-related cancer from population-based cancer registry reports according to year of report^[8]

Registry area	Year of report
Delhi, Bengaluru, Nagpur, Kolkata	2008-2009
Chennai, Barshi expanded	2009
Kollam, Bhopal, Cachar district, Manipur state, Mizoram state, Pune, Mumbai, Ahmedabad urban, Ahmedabad Rural, Aurangabad, Barshi rural	2009-2010
Tripura state, Nagaland	2010
Thiruvananthapuram, Dibrugarh district, Kamrup district, Sikkim state	2009-2011
Meghalaya, Wardha	2010-2011

in Tables 2 and 3. For all regions, the incidence of TRC for men and women was highest in Northeast region of India. For men, it was 46/100,000, and for women, it was 29/100,000. The next highest magnitude was observed in Southern and Western regions. The lowest incidence of TRC for men and women was found to be in rural West region. The overall risk of TRC as one in number of persons likely to develop tobacco related cancer ranged from 1 in 27 to 1 in 143 for men and 1 in 63 to 1 in 333 for women.

Development of esophageal cancer was of maximum risk with 1 in 27, followed by 1 in 67 for cancer of the lungs and hypopharynx observed in the Northeastern regions [Table 2]. This was followed by 1 in 83 being at risk to develop lung cancer in men of Southern region and 1 in 63 being at risk to develop lung cancer in the Northeastern region. The incidence of lip cancer was the least in all the regions of the country with 1 in 1000. The risk was 1 in 143 for both mouth and tongue cancers in Northeast region. In the Southern region, risk for TRC was 1 in 83 for developing lung cancer and 1 in 167 for tongue cancer. Risk in Western region was 1 in 71 for mouth cancer followed by, 1 in 100 for cancer of tongue and 1 in 167 for cancer of lung.

Northeast region was at the highest risk of developing TRC for women [Table 3]. The maximum risk was found in lung and esophageal carcinomas (1 in 63 being at risk) followed by mouth mouth (1 in 250 being at risk). In the Western region, the highest risk of developing TRC of various sites was 1 in 333 for tongue as well as mouth cancers. Both Southern and Central regions showed highest risk of developing TRC with risk of 1 in 250 for mouth cancer and 1 in 333 for cancer of hypopharynx in western region.

Incidence of TRCs versus all cancers among men and women in different regions of India according to the location of cancer registry is shown in Table 4. Proportion of TRC in comparison of all cancer ranged from 11–25% for men and 3–18% for women.

Table 2: Incidence of tobacco-related cancers in different geographical regions of India categorized according to location of population-based cancer registry in females

Region of registry location	AAR (one in number of persons likely to develop cancer)									
	Lip Coo	Tongue Co1-Co2	Mouth Co3-Co6	Oropharynx C10	Hypopharynx C12-C13	Pharynx C14	Esophagus C15	Larynx C32	Lung C33-C34	Urinary bladder C67
North	0.13 (-)	2.40 (500)	2.36 (500)	0.24 (-)	0.26 (-)	0.09 (-)	2.94 (500)	0.99 (1000)	4.20 (333)	1.58 (1000)
South	0.12-0.34 (-)	1.30-2.91 (500-1000)	2.95-6.17 (250-1000)	0.9-0.25 (-)	0.17-1.65 (-)	0.7-0.36 (-)	0.92-6.87 (333-1000)	0.20-0.59 (1000)	2.71-4.84 (333-500)	0.27-1.38 (1000)
Central	0.15 (-)	2.77 (500)	5.54 (250)	0.25 (-)	0.41 (-)	0.06 (-)	5.12 (333)	0.76 (1000)	3.80 (333)	0.47 (-)
Northeast	0.13-1.36 (1000)	0.60-3.18 (500-1000)	0.74-7.55 (250-1000)	0.05-1.18 (1000)	0.43-3.58 (500-1000)	0.05-0.79 (1000)	1.85-19.77 (63-500)	0.30-1.25 (1000)	0.88-28.70 (63-1000)	0.17-1.14 (1000)
West	0.19-0.36 (-)	1.09-3.15 (333-1000)	1.53-4.23 (333-1000)	0.03-0.10 (-)	0.44-0.85 (1000)	0.09-0.24 (-)	1.65-4.88 (250-1000)	0.16-0.66 (-)	0.44-3.46 (500-1000)	0.41-1.01 (1000)
Rural West	0.30 (-)	1.32-2.07 (500-1000)	0.74-2.76 (500-1000)	0.08 (-)	0.92 (1000)	0.42 (-)	1.72-3.60 (333-1000)	0.14-0.52 (-)	0.63-1.31 (1000)	0.16-0.80 (1000)
East	0.07 (-)	2.06 (500)	2.79 (500)	0.08 (-)	0.17 (-)	0.07 (-)	1.63 (1000)	0.75 (-)	4.97 (333)	1.15 (1000)
All regions	0.07-1.36 (1000)	0.60-3.18 (333-1000)	0.74-7.55 (250-1000)	0.03-1.18 (1000)	0.17-3.58 (500-1000)	0.05-0.79 (1000)	0.92-19.77 (63-1000)	0.14-1.25 (1000)	0.44-28.70 (63-1000)	0.16-1.58 (1000)

Geographical distribution of cancer registries: North – Delhi; Central: Bhopal; East: Kolkata; South – Bengaluru; Chennai; Kollam; Thiruvananthapuram; North East – Cachar district; Kamrup urban district; Manipur state; Mizoram; Nagaland; Meghalaya; Sikkim and Tripura state; West – Mumbai; Nagpur; Pune; Ahmedabad (urban); Barshi (extended); Rural West – Barshi (rural); Ahmedabad (rural). AAR – Age-adjusted rates

Table 3: Incidence of tobacco-related cancers in different geographical regions of India categorized according to location of population-based cancer registries in males

Region of registry location	AAR (one in number of persons likely to develop cancer)									
	Lip Coo	Tongue Co1-Co2	Mouth Co3-Co6	Oropharynx C10	Hypopharynx C12-C13	Pharynx C14	Esophagus C15	Larynx C32	Lung C33-C34	Urinary bladder C67
North	0.67 (1000)	8.02 (167)	6.79 (200)	1.51 (1000)	2.34 (500)	0.39 (-)	5.03 (250)	7.61 (200)	13.93 (111)	6.82 (250)
South	0.17-0.52 (-)	4.71-7.16 (167-333)	4.28-6.98 (250-333)	1.11-2.84 (500-1000)	2.42-4.36 (500-1000)	0.12-1.13 (1000)	4.18-7.55 (250-333)	3.72-5.86 (333-500)	10.82-19.47 (83-200)	2.71-3.81 (500-1000)
Central	0.39 (-)	9.04 (143)	12.46 (111)	0.63 (-)	5.32 (333)	0.40 (-)	5.07 (333)	6.43 (250)	12.37 (143)	2.87 (1000)
North East	0.12-1.10 (1000)	1.79-9.37 (143-1000)	1.68-9.22 (143-1000)	0.31-3.90 (500-1000)	1.88-17.44 (67-1000)	0.67-4.18 (333-1000)	3.81-46.23 (27-333)	2.35-8.18 (167-1000)	3.22-28.25 (67-333)	0.57-3.53 (100-1000)
West	0.19-1.01 (1000)	3.17-12.15 (100-500)	3.51-17.13 (71-333)	0.38-0.75 (1000)	1.22-4.87 (333-1000)	0.23-1.57 (1000)	3.10-7.25 (250-500)	1.87-4.81 (250-1000)	2.22-10.84 (167-500)	0.81-3.76 (500-1000)
Rural West	0.40-0.43 (-)	2.04-9.28 (143-1000)	6.50-9.47 (142-167)	0.11-0.62 (-)	2.01-4.18 (333-1000)	0.54-1.14 (1000)	3.81-4.55 (333)	1.55-3.31 (500-1000)	2.45-8.26 (167-500)	1.33-1.67 (1000)
East	0.37 (-)	3.41 (500)	6.23 (200)	0.28 (-)	2.09 (1000)	0.47 (-)	2.86 (500)	4.94 (333)	16.76 (100)	3.99 (500)
All regions	0.12-1.10 (1000)	1.79-12.15 (100-1000)	1.68-17.13 (71-1000)	0.11-3.90 (500-1000)	1.22-17.44 (67-1000)	0.12-2.60 (333-1000)	2.86-46.23 (27-500)	1.26-8.18 (167-1000)	2.22-28.25 (67-500)	0.57-6.82 (250-1000)

Geographical distribution of cancer registries: North – Delhi; Central: Bhopal; East: Kolkata; South – Bengaluru; Chennai; Kollam; Thiruvananthapuram; North East – Cachar district; Kamrup urban district; Manipur state; Mizoram; Nagaland; Meghalaya; Sikkim and Tripura state; West – Mumbai; Nagpur; Pune; Ahmedabad (urban); Barshi (extended); Rural West – Barshi (rural); Ahmedabad (rural)

DISCUSSION

The recent NCRP data on TRC analyzed in the present study revealed that up to one-fourth of all cancers among men and little lower than one-fifth among women were tobacco related. The maximum incidence among men was reported for esophagus, lungs, and hypopharynx cancers from the Northeast of India and mouth cancer for Western India. Among women, maximum rates were reported for esophagus and lung cancers in Northeast region. In comparison to earlier year data, there has been a reduction in the proportion of TRC to all cancers in both men and women based on the present data from 25 PBCRs of India. The share of TRC from all cancers

observed to be reduced to 25% in the present study as compared to 61% reported for the year 2003–2004 for Northeast region.^[7] Such reduction was also evident when compared with all other registries.^[6] The overall risk of developing TRC was highest in the Northeast region compared to all other regions. A study compared between the overall incidence of all cancer cases from Northeast region and other regions from India observed higher cancer incidence in Northeast. The study also compared Northeast cancer incidence data with global data but found its similarities with the Southeast Asian region. The conclusion is that genetic pool of Northeast region of India is different from rest of the country

Table 4: Incidence of tobacco-related cancers versus all cancers among men and women in different regions of India according to the location of cancer registry

Region of registry location	AAR of incidence per 10,000				One in number of persons likely to develop cancer in life time			
	Men		Women		Men		Women	
	TRC (%TRC of all cancers)	All cancer	TRC (%TRC of all cancers)	All cancer	TRC	All cancer	TRC	All cancer
North	0.39-13.93 (11.1)	125.2	0.09-4.20 (3.5)	120.6	111	13	333	11
South	0.12-19.47 (14.7)	113.7-132.5	0.07-4.20 (3.1)	91.6-137.2	83	13-17	250	11-15
Central	0.39-12.46 (11.8)	105.9	0.06-5.54 (5.2)	105.6	111	15	250	15
Northeast	0.12-46.23 (24.4)	74.7-189.5	0.05-28.70 (18.4)	56.1-156.3	27	8-24	63	10-23
West	0.19-17.13 (14.6)	43.7-117.5	0.03-4.88 (4.6)	56.6-105.5	71	14-29	250	13-21
Rural West	0.11-9.47 (12.8)	51.8-74.2	0.088-3.60 (5.8)	51.6-62.6	143	19-27	333	21-25
East	0.28-16.76 (18.1)	92.8	0.07-4.97 (5.0)	99.4	100	18	333	14
All region	0.11-46.23 (24.5)	43.7-189.5	0.03-28.70 (18.4)	51.6-156.3	27-143	8-29	63-333	10-25

For different sites -TRC for lip; tongue; mouth; oropharynx; hypopharynx; pharynx; larynx; esophagus; lung; urinary bladder. Geographical distribution of cancer registries: North – Delhi; Central: Bhopal; East – Kolkata; South – Bengaluru; Chennai; Kollam; Thiruvananthapuram; North East – Cachar district; Kamrup urban district; Manipur state; Mizoram; Nagaland; Meghalaya; Sikkim and Tripura state; West – Mumbai; Nagpur; Pune; Ahmedabad (urban); Barshi (extended); Rural West– Barshi (rural); Ahmedabad (rural). AAR – Age-adjusted rates; TRC – Tobacco-related cancer

but resembles with Southeast Asian region linkage.^[11] Our earlier communication on review from the first North East Cancer Registry Report also reported a high incidence of cancer in Northeast region for both men and women.^[7] Second highest magnitude TRC was for West region for both men and women. There are results from global studies using cancer registry data on geographical distributions of TRCs. A study from Cyprus reported TRCs in Cyprus and compared AARs in rural and urban districts and also compared the data with the data of many other European countries.^[12] A study on upper gastrointestinal cancers clustering and geographical variation in North China found a difference in various geographical areas is due to regional differences.^[13]

Among all important TRC sites, the risk of getting lung cancer was higher in most regions of the country and oesophageal cancer was highest for both men and women of North eastern region. A study from Kamrup urban cancer registry of Northeast India reported esophagus was the leading site of cancer among men with an age-adjusted rate of 30.7/100,000 among men.^[14] In another study from Kamrup district reported that among upper aerodigestive tract cancer of esophagus as a most common site in both sexes.^[15] Lung cancer was important site for women and this was a most commonly occurring cancer and its risk was equal to the risk of getting oesophageal cancer. Lung and hypopharynx cancers were the second most common site among men with risk of one out of every 67. The cancer registry data for 2001–2004 from India reported highest for lung cancer followed by esophagus and larynx.^[16] Ranking in the site of cancer has been altered after incorporation of data of recent population-based cancer registry into the study. Mouth cancer was another leading cause in both men (one in 71) and women (one in 250). Although the risk

of mouth cancer in women was less as compared to men, it was ranking the second highest TRC among women.

A study from registry data on temporal changes in relation to gender observed declining proportion of TRCs between the years 1990–96 and 2003 for all registries except Bhopal.^[17] This earlier study considered data for 12 PBCRs, whereas present study reported data on 25 PBCRs from 2009 to 2011 reports. Our earlier report for the year 2000 using data on only six major PBCRs had shown increasing trends of esophageal cancer in males (Chennai city) and rising trends for lung cancer among females in Bengaluru, Chennai, and Mumbai since 1982.^[6] The present study observed a reduction in percent of TRC out of all cancers as compared to data reported up to the year 2004 in various registries including Northeast registry where highest incidence was reported. This could be due to policies by Government of India for tobacco prevention and control and increase in general awareness among population related to health hazards of tobacco.^[18] A study from Pakistan's Hyderabad showed that 40.0% of the tumors in males and 20.0% in females were TRCs.^[19] A study from Gandhi Nagar district of Gujarat in India which collected raw data from various sources found that among males, 53% of cancers were tobacco related and emphasized the need for oral screening for early detection.^[20] In a study from Kamrup district of Northeast percentage of TRCs for 2003–2008 is high for both males (58.2%) and females (26.9%).^[14] In our study, we found percentage of TRC as high as 24.5% for men and 18.4% for women [Table 4]. The aforementioned lower percentage of TRCs in compare with other studies may be due to recent declining trend in TRCs. Reliability of data issues is important on the data collected across the registries. The limitation of the study is the time frame of data collection which is different in different areas due to nonavailability of data for uniform

time frame [Table 1]. In different regions of the country, cancer registries are compiled under the network of ICMR through NCRP. All the registries under NCRP follow uniform data collection procedures. A study to assess the quality of data from Mumbai, India, stated some indicators that can throw some light on the quality of data collected by the registry.^[21] For example, there has been a notable improvement in the percentages of histological verification of cases and a substantial decrease in the proportion of death certificate alone cases in both the sexes over a period of time. Mortality incidence ratio remained stable over a period of time in both the sexes. On examining the various indices of reliability and completeness of Mumbai cancer registry data, it is concluded that the data collected by this registry are quite complete and reliable.^[21] In an NCRP report, mortality incidence ratio that is an important index of reliability for males and females ranged 11.8–82% and 7.1–73.1% for males and females, respectively.^[8] The other measure is that of a good proportion of microscopically verified cases. This reported for the present study database was 61.5–93.9% and 56.4–94.4% for men and women, respectively. This shows a good proportion of microscopically verified cases as compared to the highest 89% in a previous report of NCRP.^[22] In an independent survey in Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India, that assessed completeness of Chennai PBCR during 1982–1995 found 96% completeness as compared to that of the other registries in the world.^[23] The data compiled by NCRP on the whole can be considered to be reliable.

The present study divided 25 PBCRs into different regions that were arbitrary in nature for the sake of ease in presentation. This kind of division may not cover the entire population of that region due to the diverse distribution in limited population coverage of those PBCRs in that particular region. Although the registries cover various parts of the country, they do not sufficiently cover or represent various regions which are far distant from the center of location of PBCR. Still, the NCRP reports are the only source of the cancer data, and no other sources are available for cancer statistics in India. Even though the PBCRs are spread throughout the country diversely, on the whole, the PBCRs cover only about 7% of the country's population. Achieving full coverage of a huge country such as India is practically not possible. India still has 7.45% coverage of total country's population for cancer estimation as compared to China, which has recently enhanced to 22% coverage of the population with 308 cancer registries in the year 2014 from 11% population coverage in the year 1998.^[24] Thus, there is still scope for India to target for a higher number of registries to achieve further higher coverage of the population in cancer enumeration.

CONCLUSIONS

Proportion of tobacco-related proportion of Tobacco Related cancers out of all cancers was still high. Northeast region was at the highest risk of developing TRCs among all cancer registries in all the regions. Among all TRC sites, esophagus, lung, hypopharynx, and mouth were the leading cancer sites for both men and women. Number of cancer registries be enhanced across nation for additional adequate representation of all regions of nation. Effective interventions are needed to reduce the use of tobacco to reduce the burden of disease.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. GLOBOCAN 2012: World Cancer Facts – International Agency for Research on Cancer – WHO; 2012. Available from: http://www.globocan.iarc.fr/Pages/fact_sheets_cancer.aspx. [Last accessed on 2015 Mar 12].
2. Cancer Awareness, Prevention and Control: Strategies for South Asia. (UICC-2006). Available from: www.uicc.org/cancer-awareness-prevention-and-control-uicc-handbook-south-asia. [Last accessed on 2015 Mar 12].
3. Mackay J, Eriksen M, Shafey O. The Tobacco Atlas. 2nd ed. Brighton, UK: American Cancer Society; 2006.
4. Shafey O, Eriksen M, Ross H, Mackay J. The Tobacco Atlas. 3rd ed. Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society, Bookhouse Group, Inc.; 2009.
5. Murthy NS, Rajaram D, Gautham MS, Shivaraj NS, Nandakumar BS, Pruthvish S. Risk of cancer development in India. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2011;12:387-91.
6. Satyanarayana L, Asthana S, Sharma KC. Trends for cumulative risks for life time development of tobacco related cancer in India during 1982-2000. *Indian J Med Prev Oncol* 2007;28:26.
7. Satyanarayana L, Asthana S, Sharma KC. Tobacco related cancer risk in north eastern region of India. *Indian J Med Res* 2008;128:318-9.
8. Three Year Report of the Population Based Cancer Registries 2009-2011: Report of 25 PBCRs. Bangalore: National Cancer Registry Programme, Indian Council Medical Research; 2013. Available from: http://www.ncrpindia.org/Reports/PBCR_2009_2011.aspx. accessed on 2015 Aug 18].
9. Satyanarayana L, Asthana S, Labani SP. Childhood cancer incidence in India: A review of population-based cancer registries. *Indian Pediatr* 2014;51:218-20.
10. Asthana S, Labani PS, Labani S. A review on cancer incidence in India from 25 population-based cancer registries. *J NTR Univ Health Sci* 2015;4:150-4.
11. Sharma JD, Kalit M, Nirmolia T, Saikia SP, Sharma A, Barman D. Cancer: Scenario and relationship of different geographical areas of the globe with special reference to North East-India. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2014;15:3721-9.
12. Farazi P, Lander L, Pavlou P, Watkins K, Le L, Soliman A. Geographic trends of tobacco-related cancers in Cyprus. *Tob Induc Dis* 2015;13:21.
13. Zhang N, Wen D, Shan B, Wang S, Zhang L, Wei L, *et al.* Clustering and geographic variation of upper gastrointestinal cancers in a high-risk region of esophageal cancer in Northern China. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2011;12:193-8.
14. Sharma JD, Kataki AC, Vijay CR. Population-based incidence

- and patterns of cancer in Kamrup urban cancer registry, India. *Natl Med J India* 2013;26:133-41.
15. Sharma JD, Kalita M, Barman D, Sharma A, Lahon R, Barbhuiya JA, *et al.* Patterns of upper aero-digestive tract cancers in Kamrup urban district of Assam: A retrospective study. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2014;15:7267-70.
 16. D'Souza ND, Murthy NS, Aras RY. Projection of cancer incident cases for India -till 2026. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2013;14:4379-86.
 17. Juneja A, Adhikari T, Pandey A, Sharma S, Sehgal A. Share of tobacco related cancers: Gender and time gaps-Indian scenario. *J Clin Diagn Res* 2015;9:LC01-3.
 18. Bhojani U, Soors W. Tobacco control in India: A case for the health-in-all policy approach. *Natl Med J India* 2015;28:86-9.
 19. Bhurgri Y, Bhurgri A, Pervez S, Bhurgri M, Kayani N, Ahmed R, *et al.* Cancer profile of Hyderabad, Pakistan 1998-2002. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2005;6:474-80.
 20. Jivarajani PJ, Patel HV, Mecwan RR, Solanki JB, Pandya VB. Major sites of cancer occurrence among men and women in Gandhinagar district, India. *Indian J Community Med* 2015;40:56-61.
 21. Yeole BB. An assessment of improvement in reliability and completeness of Mumbai cancer registry data from 1964-1997. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2001;2:225-32.
 22. Consolidated Report of the Population Based Cancer Registries 2001-2004: National Cancer Registry Programme. Bangalore: Indian Council of Medical Research; 2006.
 23. Gajalakshmi V, Swaminathan R, Shanta V. An independent survey to assess completeness of registration: Population based cancer registry, Chennai, India. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2001;2:179-83.
 24. Chen W, Zheng R, Zeng H, Zhang S. Epidemiology of lung cancer in China. *Thorac Cancer* 2015;6:209-15.

Announcement

Tata Memorial Centre, Mumbai, proudly announces a conference on the theme:

“Healthcare: A Commodity or Basic Human Right?”

as part of its ongoing Platinum Jubilee celebrations.

Date: January 27-29, 2017

Location: Mumbai, India

We hope to generate a debate between the two opposing points of view - *Should we allow the marketplace to govern the supply, demand and cost of healthcare? Or should we accept that healthcare is a fundamental human right and every individual should have access to it regardless of their ability to pay for it?* Eminent health economists, policy planners, administrators, clinicians, patient advocates, among others will gather at the Conference to deliberate on the nuances of this topic. A highpoint of this Conference would be the plenary talk titled ‘Societal Impact of Healthcare Spending’ to be delivered by the Harvard based economist and Nobel Laureate, Prof. Amartya Sen.

For enquiries contact...

VAMA EVENTS PVT. LTD

Office No. 4, Anmol Co-operative Housing Soc., Shivaji Park, Mumbai : 400 706

Tele: +91 22 2438 3498 | Tel Fax : +91 22 2438 3499

Email : platinum.tmc@gmail.com & vamahospitality@hotmail.com