T315I Mutation in Philadelphia Chromosome-positive Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia: Role for Detection of Mutations

Sir,

We report a patient with Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) (Ph⁺ ALL) who failed to respond to first-line tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) and was subsequently detected to have T315I mutation in the BCR-ABL kinase domain. This has significant therapeutic implications in the form of need for early initiation of second-line TKIs and justifies early allogeneic stem cell transplant; even if haploidential or matched unrelated donors are only available.

A 25-year-old male presented with fatigue, myalgia, and lymphadenopathy. Initial investigations (normal range) showed hemoglobin, 6 g% (12–15); total leukocyte count, 65,000/cu mm (4000–10,000); and platelet count, 58,000/cu mm (150,000–450,000). Differential count showed 80% lymphoblasts in the peripheral blood. Flow cytometry of bone marrow aspirate confirmed the diagnosis of precursor B-cell ALL. Cerebrospinal fluid examination was normal. Cytogenetic examination of bone marrow revealed 46 XY, t(9;22)(q34;q11.2) in all 20 metaphases. Qualitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) revealed a minor translocation in BCR-ABL involving exons e2' (bcr) and e2 (abl) resulting in a 190 kD fusion protein.

He received intensive chemotherapy as per the Berlin Frankfurt Munster (BFM)-95 protocol along with imatinib mesylate 600 mg daily. His bone marrow was in morphological remission after Phase I and he continued with further treatment which included Phase II of induction, consolidation, and reinduction. The BCR-ABL transcript was detectable in the peripheral blood by quantitative RT-PCR at the end of consolidation and after reinduction. Allogeneic stem cell transplantation was not performed as suitable donors were not available.

He commenced maintenance therapy with 6-mercaptopurine and methotrexate. In view of the persistent BCR-ABL-positive status in the peripheral blood at the end of reinduction, imatinib was stopped and dasatinib was commenced at 140 mg/day along with maintenance chemotherapy. Three months later, he had a relapse of disease in the bone marrow. Analysis of peripheral blood by nested RT-PCR and Sanger’s sequencing identified a T315I mutation. He was treated subsequently with BFM-86 protocol and a trial of axitinib for 15 days. Unfortunately, his disease was refractory and continued to progress. Upon treatment with fludarabine, idarubicin and high-dose cytarabine (FLAG-IDA regimen), his bone marrow was in complete remission. Following this, he underwent a haploidential allogeneic stem cell transplantation, the donor being the mother. He expired on the 15th day due to transplant-related complications.

Although TKIs have improved the outcomes of Ph⁺ ALL, the majority of patients with Ph⁺ ALL ultimately relapse despite using combinations of chemotherapy, first- or second-generation TKIs. Currently, the only curative option is allogeneic peripheral blood stem cell transplantation.[1] Unlike chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), where significant proportions of patients who fail first-line therapy with imatinib remain sensitive to second-line TKIs such as dasatinib or nilotinib, this is not the case with Ph⁺ ALL.[2,3] Dasatinib resistance occurs in 94% of patients who started this drug after imatinib failure and 80% of these have BCR-ABL kinase domain mutations.[5] These mutations may be present at baseline or may evolve during therapy.[2] The higher rates of resistant mutations compared to those seen in patients of CML (20%-40%) may be explained by the differences in biology between the two diseases.[2,3] This patient failed both imatinib and dasatinib because of the T315I mutation which was only identified later.

T315I mutation in the P-loop of the kinase domain of the fusion Bcr-Abl transcript is the most common mutation (37%) noted in Ph⁺ ALL patients when their disease is resistant to imatinib.[2] The prevalence of T315I mutation is even higher (65%-79%) among patients who are on second-line dasatinib after imatinib failure.[2] Limited therapeutic options exist for patients with T315I-mutated leukemia. Ponatinib is approved for this indication in CML, but we were unable to procure this drug for this patient.[4] Recent reports suggest that axitinib might have a role; however, the disease did not respond in this case.[5]

Evaluation for mutations in the kinase domain of BCR-ABL transcript is done less frequently in Ph⁺ ALL when compared to CML where there exist strict guidelines mandating testing at early signs of molecular failure. This case illustrates the need to consider for evaluation of mutations in all patients with Ph⁺ ALL who develop resistance to therapy. If drug-sensitive mutations are identified, alternative TKIs can be considered. Even though HLA-matched allogeneic stem cell transplants are currently standard of care in Ph⁺ ALL, even alternate donor or haploidential transplants may be justified in situations where resistant mutations are identified and are likely to work better if instituted before the onset of morphological relapse.
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