
Abstract

Introduction: Plerixafor is a CXCR4 antagonist which is administered along with granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) to mobilize hematopoietic stem cells in patients with Non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) or multiple myeloma (MM), who failed the mobilization with G-CSF alone. Methodology: This was a single-center, retrospective study of the efficacy of the plerixafor and G-CSF in 32 patients with NHL (n = 11), MM (n = 11), and Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) (n = 10) who failed mobilization with G-CSF alone. Results: A median number of 1.21 × 10⁶, 1.32 × 10⁶, and 6.73 × 10⁶ CD34+ cells were mobilized in patients with MM, NHL, and HL, respectively. Overall, 31 (96.8%) patients mobilized more than 2 × 10⁶ CD34+ cells and 21 (33.75%) patients mobilized more than 5 × 10⁶ CD34+ cells. All 32 (100%) patients underwent hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. There were no adverse drug events reported. Conclusion: This retrospective study shows that plerixafor is an effective and safe mobilization agent in patients with NHL, MM, and HL who have failed mobilization with G-CSF alone.
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Introduction

High-dose chemotherapy combined with autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (auto-HSCT) is the standard of care for patients with relapsed or chemosensitive non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) and multiple myeloma (MM).[1-3]

Auto-HSCT improves hematologic recovery in patients by reconstituting hematopoiesis following high-dose chemotherapy.[3] In patients with relapsed or chemosensitive NHL, high-dose chemotherapy with auto-HSCT has been shown to increase disease-free survival, whereas in MM, a combination of high-dose chemotherapy with auto-HSCT improves progression-free survival and overall survival (OS).[4-6] In some situations, auto-HSCT is potentially curative.[7]

Employing an effective stem cell mobilization regimen plays a critical role in auto-HSCT. The minimum number of cells generally acceptable for transplantation is ≥2 × 10⁶ CD34+ cells/kg.[8] Transplanting fewer than this number of cells may result in delayed engraftment of both platelets and neutrophils.[9] The target number of cells for a single transplant was defined by Weaver et al.[10] as ≥5 × 10⁶ CD34+ cells/kg, which is important for short-term outcomes, resulting in earlier and more consistent neutrophil, and especially platelet engraftment compared with transplants with lower cell doses.[11] In some studies, transplant doses of ≥5 × 10⁶ CD34+ cells/kg have been associated with longer disease-free survival, and OS compared with lower transplant doses.[12,13] Obtaining a sufficient quantity of cells for auto-HSCT is difficult in approximately 20%–25% of patients.[14-16]

Until recently, there were two main approaches to stem cell mobilization that involved the use of growth factors, such as granulocyte-colony-stimulating...
factor (G-CSF) alone (G) or in conjunction with chemotherapy. The administration of chemotherapy before the use of G-CSF produces a higher yield of stem cells for autologous transplantation, but this is not effective for all patients. Around 5%–40% of patients fail to mobilize an adequate number of CD34+ cells with commonly used regimens.[17] These include patients with NHL, elderly patients,[18-20] and patients with MM who previously received multiple cycles of lenalidomide or underwent auto-HSCT.[21] Many patients with Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) who have received extensive cytotoxic chemotherapy previously will turn out to be poor mobilizers.[22]

Advancements in HL therapy have been documented since the introduction of combination chemotherapy protocols and changes in irradiation strategies. Despite these advancements, approximately 10% of HL patients remain refractory to these treatments in whom auto-HSCT remains one of the most important alternative treatment modalities.[24]

Plerixafor is an additional option for use in auto-HSCT. G-CSF with plerixafor augments the mobilization of CD34+ cells, particularly in patients who are considered poor mobilizers.[25-27]

Plerixafor, a bicyclam derivative, is a small molecule which selectively and reversibly antagonizes the CXCR4 chemokine receptor and blocks binding to its cognate ligand, stromal cell-derived factor-1a (SDF-1a). The interruption of the CXCR4/SDF-1a interaction results in mobilization of CD34+ cells to the peripheral blood, where they can be collected for auto-HSCT.[23] The stem cells mobilized by the combination of G-CSF plus plerixafor have been shown to differ from those mobilized by G-CSF alone, with a higher proportion of cells in the growth phase, higher numbers of B- and T-lymphocytes, natural killer cells, dendritic cells, and primitive CD34+ cells.[29-32]

Methodology

This was a retrospective study conducted at HCG Cancer Centre, Bengaluru. The patients’ data were retrieved from the medical records from January 2017 to October 2018.

The following data were extracted: baseline characteristics, diagnosis, CD34+ cell counts after plerixafor administration, and adverse events (if any). All patients’ data were transcribed onto the case report form maintaining patient anonymity.

The patients included were based on the following inclusion criteria: (1) age 18–78 years; (2) candidates to autologous stem cell transplantation (auto-SCT) for MM, NHL, or HL; (3) who had failed to collect a minimum of 2 × 10^6 CD 34+ cells/kg or did not even proceed to apheresis based on a low peripheral blood CD34+ count with mobilization with G-CSF; (4) adequate organ function to undergo apheresis and transplantation; and (5) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status 0–2.

Exclusion criteria included: (1) diagnosis of any form of acute or chronic leukemia (including plasma cell leukemia) or myelodysplastic syndrome; (2) comorbid conditions which render the patient at high risk from treatment complications; (3) vasculitis or autoimmune disorders; (4) brain metastases, carcinomatous meningitis, or any other malignancy unless the patient had been disease-free for at least 5 years after curative intent therapy; and (5) clinically significant heart disease.

Each patient’s mobilization regimen was determined by the bone marrow transplant physician. Patients received G-CSF as per the standard protocol, typically as a 10 mg/kg daily s.c. injection each morning for 4 consecutive days. From the evening of the 4th day, patients received a single injection of s.c. plerixafor at the dose of 0.24 mg/kg, administered at least 11 h prior to the following day’s apheresis schedule. On the morning of the 5th day, G-CSF was administered, and apheresis began at approximately 10–12 h after plerixafor and at 1 h after G-CSF administration. The administration of plerixafor + G-CSF and apheresis was repeated daily until the collection target was achieved (sufficient cells for auto-SCT [minimum 2 × 10^9/kg]) or up to a maximum of four doses of plerixafor was given in total or the patient had failed to mobilize enough peripheral blood stem cells to warrant continuation. The number of CD34+ cells collected during each apheresis session was recorded. Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the data.

Results

The study included a total of 32 consecutive patients in whom the mobilization was performed using G-CSF plus plerixafor following previous mobilization failure with G-CSF alone. Patients were heavily pretreated and received a median of two lines of different chemotherapy regimen before mobilization with G-CSF plus plerixafor. The demographic, clinical characteristics, and mobilization data are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Demographic/clinical characteristics of the study cohort (n=32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior lines of chemotherapy, average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MM – Multiple myeloma; HL – Hodgkin lymphoma; NHL – Non-Hodgkin lymphoma
Mobilization

In 31 (96.8%) patients, a minimum threshold for peripheral stem cells, defined as $2 \times 10^6$ CD34+ stem cells, was collected following G-CSF + plerixafor mobilization procedure. A median of 1.5 and 2 days was required to mobilize $2 \times 10^6$ CD34+ stem cells in MM and NHL, respectively.

In 8 (72.72%) patients with MM, an optimal threshold for peripheral stem cells, defined as $6 \times 10^6$ CD34+ stem cells, was collected following G-P mobilization procedure, requiring a median of 2.5 days for mobilization.

In 6 (54.54%) patients with NHL, an optimal threshold for peripheral stem cells, defined as $5 \times 10^6$ CD34+ stem cells, was collected following G-P mobilization procedure, requiring a median of 2 days for mobilization. Table 2 depicts the mobilization features of the patients.

No major adverse events were observed during this study.

Discussion

Our data confirm that plerixafor in combination with G-CSF is an effective alternative measure for poor mobilizers with G-CSF alone in NHL, HL, and MM patients. Plerixafor was well tolerated by our patients which is in accordance with other studies reporting only mild side effects associated with plerixafor.[33]

The mobilization efficacy of plerixafor has been demonstrated in combination with G-CSF for primary mobilization in adult patients with MM or NHL in two Phase III, multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trials.[34,35] Fifty-nine percent of adults with NHL were able to achieve the primary endpoint collection of $5 \times 10^6$ CD34 cells/kg, and 87% of them were able to reach the secondary endpoint collection of $2 \times 10^6$ CD34 cells/kg. Target stem cell collection of $\geq 5 \times 10^6$ CD34+ cells/kg was achieved within 4 apheresis days in the plerixafor plus G-CSF group.[35] In both studies, patients tolerated plerixafor, and for patients who underwent auto-HSCT, their hematopoietic recovery process and engraftment status were unremarkable. In both studies, auto-HSCT after mobilization with plerixafor and placebo resulted in successful engraftment of neutrophils and platelets. The durability of grafts was similar for plerixafor and placebo through 12 months of follow-up. Both regimens were associated with similar survival rates at 12 months posttransplantation.[34,35]

Our experiences showed that most patients with HL with poor mobilization following G-CSF alone showed favorable responses to the addition of plerixafor, which might have averted costly and time-consuming remobilization attempts and contributed to the successful mobilization of CD34+ cells.

Several pharmacoeconomic studies have shown that plerixafor, when given to poor mobilizers, decreased mobilization failure rates at an acceptable increase in costs for patients with MM and NHL.

Our study is limited by its retrospective nature and relatively small patient population size. Despite these limitations, our data have shown that plerixafor is an effective and safe mobilization agent in patients with NHL and MM who have failed mobilization with G-CSF alone.

Conclusion

Plerixafor is indicated along with Granulocyte-Colony Stimulating Factor (G-CSF) to mobilize hematopoietic stem cells in patients with NHL or MM, who failed the mobilization with G-CSF alone. This single-centre retrospective study reiterates that plerixafor is an effective and safe mobilization agent in patients with NHL, MM, and HL who have failed mobilization with G-CSF alone.
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