Metastatic Lobular Carcinoma of the Male Breast Masquerading as a Pancreatic Head Mass, a Diagnostic Dilemma—Rare Case and Literature Review
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Abstract

Male breast cancer comprises of 1% of all and invasive lobular carcinomas (ILC) are even rarer in males. ILC are known to have unusual metastatic sites. We report a case of a 51-year-old male diagnosed with breast cancer, who presented with a recurrent chest wall nodule and icterus after 24 months of disease-free interval. On further investigations, he was found to have pancreatic head mass associated with conjugated hyperbilirubinemia suggestive of obstructive jaundice and a left parasternal soft tissue recurrence. A self-expandable metallic stent was inserted for recurrent cholangitis. Biopsy from the chest wall nodule was recurrence of ILC and pancreatic head mass was suspected to be either a second primary or an isolated pancreatic head metastasis of ILC on imaging. In either case surgical resection if operable and localized was planned. However, on staging laparoscopy, the patient was found to have mild ascites and multiple peritoneal nodules, which on biopsy proved to be metastases from ILC. Patient was treated with second-line hormonal therapy with luteinizing hormone releasing hormone agonist and an aromatase inhibitor. ILC may present with unusual sites of metastasis leading to diagnostic dilemma. A high index of suspicion of metastases and appropriate biopsies can help one embark upon the most appropriate plan.
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Key Message

Invasive lobular carcinoma has unusual sites of metastasis. A high index of suspicion and use of preoperative biopsies for accurate diagnosis in the metastatic setting help in appropriate management of such patients and avoids unnecessary morbid surgery.

Introduction

Male breast cancer (MBC) comprises 1% of all. And infiltrating lobular carcinoma (ILC) comprises 1% of all MBC as compared to 10 to 15% of female breast cancers (FBC).1,2

Sanchez et al3 reported the first case of ILC of the male breast in a patient with Klinefelter syndrome. A larger percentage of MBC ILC present with metastatic disease, often due to low index of suspicion on the part of patient and clinician alike. Metastatic pattern of ILC differs from that of infiltrating breast carcinoma, no special type (IBC-NST).

Unlike common metastatic sites like lung, liver, and bone, ILC tend to metastasize to unusual sites like gastrointestinal tract, peritoneum, retropertioneum, and leptomeninges.4 A large retrospective study analyzed radiological imaging of 116 patients of ILC to understand their pattern of intra-abdominal metastasis. Peritoneum, liver, bowel, ovary, retropertioneum, ureter, and lymph nodes were the common sites of metastasis in descending order.5 ILC are generally of lower grade, have low mitotic index, and are hormone receptor (HR) positive with good response to hormonal therapy. However, there is conflicting evidence with respect to their prognosis as compared to IBC-NST with some studies showing better and others showing a worse outcome.6

Patients of ILC with abdominal metastases seem to have a shorter overall and disease-free survival.2 We present a rare case of MBC with ILC presenting with obstructive jaundice due to pancreatic head metastasis.

Case History

A 51-year-old man was diagnosed with left-sided breast cancer. He underwent a radical mastectomy, which on histopathology showed ILC and two positive axillary lymph nodes (► Supplementary Fig. S1A, available online only); estrogen receptor/progesterone receptor (ER/PR) was positive (Allred score—7/8 and 5/8, respectively) and HER 2 was negative (► Supplementary Fig. S1B–E, available online only). He received standard anthracycline and paclitaxel-based adjuvant chemotherapy. He also received locoregional radiation to chest wall and supraclavicular fossa. Patient was on maintenance tamoxifen when he presented with jaundice and anorexia after a disease-free interval of 2 years. On clinical examination, he had icterus and a 2 × 2 cm fixed chest wall lesion at the second costochondral junction.

Biochemistry investigations revealed conjugated hyperbilirubinemia (total bilirubin—28 mg/dL, conjugated bilirubin—11 mg/dL, serum glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase—256 IU/L, serum glutamic-pyruvate transaminase—109 IU/L, alkaline phosphatase—249 mU/mL) suggestive of obstructive jaundice. Serum CA-19.9 was within normal limits (24.35 U/mL). Abdominal ultrasound showed central intrahepatic biliary tract dilatation with a dilated common bile duct (CBD) of 13 mm with an abrupt cutoff at the lower end. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography was suggestive of a lesion in the head of pancreas measuring 9 × 8 mm involving the intrapancreatic CBD with upstream dilatation of suprapancreatic CBD, common hepatic duct, and intrahepatic biliary tract (► Fig. 1A). A staging positron emission tomography-contrast-enhanced computed tomography showed increased uptake in an ill-defined soft tissue mass involving intrapancreatic bile duct and adjacent pancreatic parenchyma (► Fig. 1B) (SUVmax—7.77) and a left para-sternal soft tissue mass (SUVmax—5.88) (► Fig. 1C). There was no other uptake on positron emission tomography in any other organ. A self-expandable metallic biliary stent was placed for recurrent cholangitis. Biopsy of chest wall lesion was recurrent ILC (► Fig. 1D) and ER/PR was positive (► Fig. 1G, H), consistent with that of the primary breast tumor. Radiological impression was that of an isolated pancreatic head mass. Two differentials of solitary pancreatic metastasis of lobular carcinoma and a second primary pancreatic head carcinoma were kept in mind. However, isolated pancreatic head metastasis from BC is extremely rare. In case of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carrier incidence ratio for second pancreatic cancer reported to be 2.55 and 2.13, respectively.7 On germline mutation analysis with hotspot common mutation testing with focused sequencing the patient had no pathogenic BRCA1 or 2 germline mutation. Staging laparoscopy was planned to be followed by a pancreaticoduodenectomy if resectable and localized pancreatic lesion along with wide excision of chest wall recurrence. However, on laparoscopy, he was found to have multiple subcentimetric peritoneal nodules with mild ascites with peritoneal carcinomatosis index >10. Multiple peritoneal biopsies were taken and ascitic fluid was sent for cytology. A decision to defer definitive treatment was made awaiting final histopathology report of the intra-abdominal biopsies. The histopathology of peritoneal nodule (► Fig. 1E) and cytopathology of ascitic fluid cytology and cell block (► Fig. 1F) proved the intra-abdominal disease to be recurrence and pancreatic metastasis of lobular carcinoma rather than a second primary. Patient was deemed to have metastatic BC and started on next line of hormonal therapy, that is, luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) agonist and aromatase inhibitor. The patient further progressed after 3 months and was started on weekly paclitaxel. He finally succumbed to disease after 5 months.

Discussion

ILC is known to arise from terminal ductal and lobular epithelium in females and is often accompanied by lobular neoplasia. The occurrence of ILC is rare in males as they lack lobular development and hence little is known about the etiology of male ILC. The first few reports of ILC reported in male breast were in patients with Klinefelter syndrome.8 A few common etiological factors are known to be those...
causing androgen/estrogen imbalance such as testicular dysgenesis as seen in Klinefelter syndrome, excessive alcohol intake, obesity, exogenous use of estrogen, chronic liver disease, etc. The breast parenchymal infiltration in ILC does not destroy the parenchyma or incite localized connective tissue response, hence fails to form palpable lump making early detection difficult. In comparison with IBC-NST, ILC is significantly more likely to occur in older patients, to be larger in size, to be hormone receptor positive, to have lower S-phase fraction, to be diploid, and to be HER2, p53, and epidermal growth factor receptor negative. One of the most consistent and characteristic is loss of cell-to-cell adhesion protein, E-cadherin. This feature near universally defines the discohesive histomorphological features of ILC. The E-cadherin protein is coded by the CDH1 gene located on Chr16q21, a tumor suppressor gene maintaining cell-to-cell adhesion and tissue integrity. The prognosis of ILC as compared to IBC-NOS seems to be contradictorily better or worse across literature.

Intra-abdominal metastases of ILC are known, the most common sites being peritoneum followed by liver, small bowel, and ovaries. ILC metastasis to gastrointestinal tract is like its spread in breast, i.e., it involves all the layers forming diffuse thickening instead of forming mass for e.g., in stomach, like its spread in breast, i.e., it involves all the layers forming diffuse thickening instead of forming mass for e.g., in stomach, a linitis plastica like picture. Moreover, the microenvironment of the ovary or peritoneum may provide growth and survival factors that favor ILC cells. Patients with intra-abdominal metastasis had a poorer overall and disease-free survival as compared to other sites of metastases. Pancreatic metastasis due to other cancers is rare and from BC, it is even rarer. Pancreatic metastasis of lobular carcinoma has been reported earlier either in synchronous or recurrent setting. Some of these patients were managed with synchronous surgery of primary BC and pancreatoduodenectomy. Molino et al reported a patient who presented with obstructive jaundice and was found to have a breast lump on further investigations. She underwent pancreatoduodenectomy and mastectomy. On final histopathological examination, the pancreatic mass showed metastasis from lobular carcinoma. In another case, the pancreatic head mass biopsy was suggestive of poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma but on final histopathology it turned out to be metastatic ILC. Sun et al also presented a case wherein the diagnosis of pancreatic metastasis was proven only after a definitive distal pancreatectomiesplenectomy when the tumor was subjected to a complete IHC panel. As such tissue diagnosis is not mandatory for radiologically resectable pancreatic cancer; hence, a preoperative biopsy of the pancreas is generally not performed. In our case, there were two differentials—solitary metastasis (as no other lesions were seen on imaging) from ILC to pancreatic head or a second primary pancreatic tumor. In either case, a pancreatic head resection as a part of Whipple surgery was deemed suitable for both scenarios; hence, a preoperative image-guided biopsy was not considered from the pancreatic head lesion. Our patient had no pathogenic germline mutation of BRCA1 or 2 which was discovered after subsequent testing, however the report was not available at the time of planning of treatment. A staging laparoscopy was planned to resolve the diagnostic ambiguity with an aim to carry out curative resection that on preoperative imaging was thought to be localized.
and resectable. Also, metastases from ILC to intra-abdominal organs may be radiologically indistinguishable from the primary site disease. However, based on our report and possibility of rare occurrences of pancreatic metastasis of lobular carcinoma, a pancreatic mass presenting either with breast lump or on follow-up in a patient previously treated for ILC may be evaluated for metastasis. A preoperative biopsy may also be suitable in such ambiguous situations. The knowledge of clinical history of ILC and its unusual metastatic presentations can direct appropriate IHC staining and help distinguish between metastasis and a new primary.

The role of tumor markers such as CA15.3, CA19.9, CEA is limited in such scenarios.

Table 1 summarizes the previously published studies of pancreatic metastases in breast cancer, however none of them is reported in male breast cancer.

Our case is first rare presentation where previously treated MBC patient presented with chest wall recurrence and pancreatic head mass. On exploratory laparoscopy, the patient had mild ascites and subcentimetric multiple peritoneal nodules that on histopathology were diagnosed as metastatic ILC. Ascitic fluid cytology and cell block also showed metastatic lobular carcinoma. The importance of staging laparoscopy and high index of suspicion based on the clinical scenario was of paramount importance in this case, as it obviated a morbid procedure of Whipple’s surgery in the metastatic BC setting. A preoperative image guided biopsy may also be suitable in future for similar situation, however in this case we peculiarly did not see any other peritoneal nodule on the preoperative imaging.

Metastatic MBC management guidelines are extrapolated from those in the females. The first-line therapy for hormone receptor positive patients is tamoxifen.8 The role of aromatase inhibitors in males is controversial but is usually considered for patient who are progressing on tamoxifen and should be combined with medical (LHRH agonist) or surgical castration.19 Chemotherapy regimens are the same as in female BC and should be used in event of failure of hormonal therapy, hormone receptor negative tumors, and in event of visceral crisis.20 Our patient suffered from a rapidly progressing intra-abdominal recurrence of ILC and succumbed to the disease after 5 months.

Conclusion

ILC have unusual sites of metastasis. A high index of suspicion and use of preoperative image guided biopsies as well as laparoscopic staging in this setting helps in accurate diagnosis and appropriate management of such patients.
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