



The Intersection of Tobacco Use, Health Disparities, and Inequalities in Lung Cancer Treatment and Survival

Abhishek Shankar¹ Callum Yau² Isaac G. Wallbridge² Deepak Saini³ Chandra Prakash Prasad⁴
Pritanjali Singh⁵ Jagdish Kaur⁶ Shubham Roy⁷ Praveen Sinha⁸

¹ Department of Radiation Oncology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences Patna, Patna, Bihar, India

² Department of Medical Education, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom

³ Materia Medica Department, Lal Bahadur Shastri Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

⁴ Medical Oncology (Lab), All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Delhi, India

⁵ Department of Radiotherapy, All India Institute of Medical Sciences Patna, Bihar, India

⁶ Healthier Populations and Non Communicable Diseases (NCDs) Department, WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia, New Delhi, India

⁷ Department of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics, Sitaram Bhartia Institute of Science & Research, Delhi, India

⁸ National Professional Officer, Division of Tobacco Control, World Health Organisation India

Address for correspondence Abhishek Shankar, MD, CFP, Department of Radiation Oncology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar 801507, India (e-mail: doc.abhishankar@gmail.com).

Ind J Med Paediatr Oncol 2022;43:289–293.

Abstract

Tobacco use and socioeconomic status are related with each other and important determinants of disparities and inequalities to access to care. There is overall reduction in number of smokers but still most number of smokers is represented by people from low socioeconomic status, with less number of these people having an access to the treatment centers. Patients who are tobacco users have shown to be less likely to receive any form of treatment for lung cancer, whether that be chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or surgery. As there is less awareness about signs and symptoms of lung cancer, lower socioeconomic patients are likely to report to hospitals at advanced stages or many times as a medical emergency. We are well aware that patients with advanced lung cancer have lower chances of survival in view of incomplete response to treatment. As there is an intersection between tobacco use, health disparities, and inequalities and lung cancer treatment and survival, this issue needs better focus and attention to minimize disparities and inequalities in access to care and outcomes.

Keywords

- ▶ lung cancer
- ▶ tobacco
- ▶ smoking
- ▶ inequalities
- ▶ health disparities

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0042-1750205>.
ISSN 0971-5851.

© 2022. Indian Society of Medical and Paediatric Oncology. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

Introduction

Globally, lung cancer contributes 11.4 and 18% in overall cancer incidence and mortality, respectively, making it one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality.¹ Not only disparities and inequalities in lung cancer care pose a challenge to increase survival but pattern of tobacco use and availability and affordability of health care facilities add more challenges. Use of tobacco products, mainly cigarette smoking, remains the leading preventable cause of death for all population groups.² However, there is a clear socioeconomic divide between the numbers of cigarette smokers.

Socioeconomic, Ethnic, Racial, and Health Disparities

Cigarette smoking as a risk for lung cancer mortality is influenced by many variables like race, socioeconomic status, and gender. Largest socioeconomic disparity has been reported in lung cancer in view of greater environmental exposures, contributing to increase incidence and mortality.³⁻⁵ Poor prognosis is more commonly associated with lower income patients than higher income patients as they are less likely to receive curative treatments.⁶ Although there is a decline in the incidence of lung cancer among black men, but still that is higher when it is compared with white men. Female racial smoking pattern is reflected by consistently higher new lung cancer diagnosis in white than black women.⁷

Studies have shown an association between lower socioeconomic status and higher chances for lung cancer with higher chances of presentation at a more advanced stage. This can be well understood with relationship between race, socioeconomic status, lung cancer occurrence, and outcomes.^{8,9} Individuals with a lower socioeconomic status, represented by both poverty and lack of education are more likely to be current cigarette smokers.¹⁰ During 2003 to 2011, men with less than a high school education and those below the poverty level had 2.6 times higher lung cancer mortality than their more educated and affluent counterparts. Education and income levels were also inversely related to female lung cancer mortality. Education and income inequalities in lung cancer mortality increased over time.¹¹

Ethnic and racial disparities in lung cancer due to tobacco are also significantly marked. Lung cancer mortality is 10% higher for black men, than white men but 31% higher for white women than black women.¹² Using the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results 18 database, Wafa et al recently demonstrated that Asian lung cancer patients exhibits lower incidence and mortality rates, when compared with blacks or whites.¹³ There is substantial data that correlates racial discrepancies of lung cancer genes among different populations, hence suggesting “genetics” as an important factor for disparity among populations. Consider the frequencies of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations, its highly prevalent in Asian populations (30%), when compared with whites (7%).¹⁴ Another study observed that African American population harbor 2.4% EGFR muta-

tion, compared with 14.1% in whites.¹⁵ Later, studies do suggest that EGFR mutation rate occurring in these populations was quite comparable.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ However, still EGFR mutation burden is found to be on the higher side in Latin American cohort (> 30%).¹⁹ All these findings suggest that occurrences of genetic alteration among patients of lung cancer of diverse racial backgrounds play important role in lung cancer incidence.

One study indicates that high risk of lung cancer in Latinos and African American may be attributed to use of menthol cigarettes despite prevalence of fewer cigarette consumption on daily basis. Despite fewer cigarette consumption on daily basis, intermittent use of cigarette smoking, and initiation of smoking at later stage of life in comparison with white smokers, black smokers are at increased risk of lung cancer due to peculiar nicotine metabolism.^{20,21} Smoking is the cause of nearly 87% lung cancer deaths.²¹

During the past 50 years, landscape for gender-based differences in global lung cancer incidence has vividly shifted. Results from Cancer Incidence in Five Continents (CI5), a 5-year study performed by the International Agency for Research on Cancer demonstrated that Turkey has the highest lung cancer rates followed by European countries, that is, Belarus and Croatia, in men. Among women, highest rates were observed in Denmark, followed by African Americans in U.S. and Iceland.²² There is a speculation that women are more susceptible to develop lung cancer compared with men, at parallel level of exposure. This distinctness might be due to molecular alterations associated with the disease between the two genders.²³

Smokers are most commonly disadvantaged citizens socially and economically.²⁴ A study within the United States showed that the number of smoking-related deaths in middle-aged men who achieved no more than a high school education was twice the amount of that then those who achieved more than a high school education.²⁵ In fact, within the United States, it has been shown that two of the largest tobacco manufacturers target their advertising to “working class” young people. Further driving the number of young lower-class citizens to start smoking at a younger age.²⁶ This particular class are also more likely to smoke more cigarettes a day and are less likely to stop smoking in comparison to higher class groups.²⁷

The lower socioeconomic class smokers require more support and assistance in smoking cessation as they are more often intensely addicted to nicotine.²⁸ These people are less likely to seek out and receive adequate medical support, make it a difficult barrier in making services accessible to all.²⁹ The public health campaigns that have been implemented across Europe have been successful in reducing the number of smokers. However, this reduction has mostly occurred among the middle- and high-income groups.³⁰ Quitting smoking is also difficult for low socioeconomic classes. Higher level of education contributes significantly in quitting smoking in comparison to other individuals with lower level of education.²¹ Further highlighting the disparities within the use of tobacco among socioeconomic classes.

Disparities in Survival

Lung cancer is one of the most common cancers, and tobacco smoking is the most common and well-established cause for it. Increased use of cigarettes among lower socioeconomic population is attributing to the higher incidence of lung cancer.⁵ Lower survival rates among lower socioeconomic groups are reported and this difference in cancer survival rates may be due to division of socioeconomic class.³¹ There may be many contributing factors to this situation like patients failing to seek medical treatment on time and report to hospital in emergency with advanced diseases, or primary health care services in deprived area are less effective. Therefore, lower socioeconomic patients are likely to present late or as a medical emergency. Both of which lower their chances of survival. Lower socioeconomic groups are also more likely to start smoking at a younger age, therefore they expose their lungs to carcinogens for a prolonged period of time and are more likely to develop lung cancer in later part of their life.³²

American Thoracic Society released a statement on health care disparity in lung cancer and found that the current guidelines do not take into account the racial, ethnic, and gender-based differences which do not fully include high-risk individuals. Disparities in availability of health care facilities for rural high-risk patients, insurance cover, status of the individual's education, and financial conditions are among the point found which needs to be addressed.³³ Participation rate of black smokers was comparatively low in the National Lung Screening Trial, while study results show higher rate of mortality reduction among black then in white.²⁰

Numerous studies have documented disparities in survival among patients diagnosed with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). In particular, patients who are non-white,^{34–36} low income,^{37,38} or uninsured^{39,40} have a higher mortality rate from NSCLC compared with other patients. Surgical resection, the most successful treatment, is an option only for localized disease⁴¹ and individuals presenting with advanced disease, have fewer options for successful medical intervention. Thus, black patients are less likely to have the option of surgical resection, which may contribute to the lower 5-year survival. Access to chemotherapy and radiotherapy is also very limited.

In spite of the existence of these disparities, stage of the disease and delivery of the treatment on time are more important predictors of survival than race and socioeconomic status.⁴² In the delivery of care for patients diagnosed with NSCLC, disparities that pertain to individual characteristics such as race, marital status, education, and age have been reported both in receipt of treatment and survival.⁴³

Disparities in Treatment

Socially and economically deprived groups are also deprived of on time treatment and report lower treatment rates.⁴⁴ These patients are less likely to receive any form of treatment whether that be chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or surgery.⁶ This disparities to receive cancer treatment can be influenced

by other mediating factors that include exposure to distinctive environmental conditions linked to residential segregation, genetic differences, resilience factors, nativity/migration, and cultural practices and beliefs, and availability of treatment facility.^{45,46}

Tobacco use and socioeconomic status are related to each other and are important determinants of disparities and inequalities to access to care. There are overall reduction in number of smokers but still represented by people from low socioeconomic strata with less number of people having an access to the treatment centers.⁴⁷ Prolonged exposure and increased frequency of exposure are important determinants of higher incidence of lung cancer among this population. These patients are particularly difficult to treat in view of late stage of presentation where intent of treatment change to palliative and also mispresumptions are made by medical staff due to their class.²⁹

Education inequalities are important factors for tobacco use and lung cancer management. Better survival is reported in many studies among early-stage lung cancer patients who are more educated. A Swedish study reported that early-stage lung cancer patients with high education level had better survival whereas lower survival was observed in high educated stage III lung cancer patients.⁴⁸ One study observed higher overall survival in higher educated early-stage disease out of three studies on socioeconomic and educational inequalities in overall survival from lung cancer in England.⁴⁹ One study from Denmark discussed the role of educational inequalities in overall survival which were explained by differences in stage of lung cancer at diagnosis, delivery of first-line treatment, comorbidity, and lower cancer survival.⁵⁰ Two studies reported worst overall survival in lung cancer patients living in deprived areas in view of difference in receipt of prescribed treatment.^{51,52} Higher concentration of deprivation and lower levels of education was associated with decrease in survival in an American study.⁴³ However, it is unclear how clinical and treatment differences contribute to these survival differences.

Conclusion

Disparities and inequalities in terms of tobacco use and lung cancer treatment and survival have been reported in many studies. Association of these factors have been observed in lower socioeconomic population, socially deprived population, and less educated population and found to be significantly influencing the overall survival of lung cancer patients. More work is required to better educate the lower socioeconomic class to not undertake and to stop smoking as optimal strategy for preventing lung cancer.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2021;71(03): 209–249

- 2 Mallath MK, Taylor DG, Badwe RA, et al. The growing burden of cancer in India: epidemiology and social context. *Lancet Oncol* 2014;15(06):e205–e212
- 3 Siegel R, Ward E, Brawley O, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2011: the impact of eliminating socioeconomic and racial disparities on premature cancer deaths. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2011;61(04):212–236
- 4 Yang R, Cheung MC, Byrne MM, et al. Do racial or socioeconomic disparities exist in lung cancer treatment? *Cancer* 2010;116(10):2437–2447
- 5 Hovanec J, Siemiatycki J, Conway DI, et al. Lung cancer and socioeconomic status in a pooled analysis of case-control studies. *PLoS One* 2018;13(02):e0192999
- 6 Forrest LF, Adams J, Wareham H, Rubin G, White M. Socioeconomic inequalities in lung cancer treatment: systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med* 2013;10(02):e1001376
- 7 O'Keefe EB, Meltzer JP, Bethea TN. Health disparities and cancer: racial disparities in cancer mortality in the United States, 2000–2010. *Front Public Health* 2015;3:51–51
- 8 Quaglia A, Lillini R, Mamo C, Ivaldi E, Vercelli MSEIH (Socio-Economic Indicators, Health) Working Group. Socio-economic inequalities: a review of methodological issues and the relationships with cancer survival. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2013;85(03):266–277
- 9 The Henry J. Poverty Rate By Race/Ethnicity April 2, 2022. Accessed May 6, 2022 at: <http://kff.org/other/state-indicator/poverty-rate-by-raceethnicity/>
- 10 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Vital signs: current cigarette smoking among adults aged ≥ 18 years—United States, 2005–2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2011;60(35):1207–1212
- 11 Singh GK, Jemal A. Socioeconomic and racial/ethnic disparities in cancer mortality, incidence, and survival in the United States, 1950–2014: over six decades of changing patterns and widening inequalities. *J Environ Public Health* 2017; 2819372
- 12 Society AC. Cancer Facts & Figures for African Americans. January 9, 2022. Accessed January 9, 2022 at: <https://www.cancer.org/research/cancer-facts-statistics/cancer-facts-figures-for-african-americans.html>
- 13 Wafa M, Oussama KA, Ruhban IA, Saad AM, Al-Husseini MJ, Gad MM. Racial disparities in lung cancer incidence and mortality over the last two decades; a population-based study. *Ann Oncol* 2019;30:107
- 14 Zhou W, Christiani DC. East meets West: ethnic differences in epidemiology and clinical behaviors of lung cancer between East Asians and Caucasians. *Chin J Cancer* 2011;30(05):287–292
- 15 Yang SH, Mechanic LE, Yang P, et al. Mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain of the epidermal growth factor receptor in non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005;11(06):2106–2110
- 16 Cote ML, Haddad R, Edwards DJ, et al. Frequency and type of epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in African Americans with non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2011;6(03):627–630
- 17 Reinersman JM, Johnson ML, Riely GJ, et al. Frequency of EGFR and KRAS mutations in lung adenocarcinomas in African Americans. *J Thorac Oncol* 2011;6(01): 28–31
- 18 Society AC. Black/White Disparity in Lung Cancer Incidence Reversed or Eliminated among Young Adults. January 9, 2022. Updated August 20. Accessed January 10, 2022 at: <http://pressroom.cancer.org/JemalBlackWhiteLungJNCICS>
- 19 Bacchi CE, Ciol H, Queiroga EM, Benine LC, Silva LH, Ojopi EB. Epidermal growth factor receptor and KRAS mutations in Brazilian lung cancer patients. *Clinics (São Paulo)* 2012;67(05):419–424
- 20 Haddad DN, Sandler KL, Henderson LM, Rivera MP, Aldrich MC. Disparities in lung cancer screening: a review. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2020;17(04):399–405
- 21 Irvin Vidrine J, Reitzel LR, Wetter DW. The role of tobacco in cancer health disparities. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2009;11(06):475–481
- 22 Cancer IAfRo. CI5: Cancer Incidence in Five Continents. January 9, 2022. Accessed January 9, 2022 at: https://ci5.iarc.fr/Default.aspx?utm_source=STAT+Newsletters&utm_campaign=4ad3c286dd-clc_30s_013121&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_8cab1d7961-4ad3c286dd-105421577
- 23 Ragavan MV, Patel MI. Understanding sex disparities in lung cancer incidence: are women more at risk? *Lung Cancer Manag* 2020;9(03):LMT34–LMT34
- 24 Drope J, Liber AC, Cahn Z, et al. Who's still smoking? Disparities in adult cigarette smoking prevalence in the United States. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018;68(02):106–115
- 25 Jha P, Peto R, Zatonski W, Boreham J, Jarvis MJ, Lopez AD. Social inequalities in male mortality, and in male mortality from smoking: indirect estimation from national death rates in England and Wales, Poland, and North America. *Lancet* 2006;368(9533):367–370
- 26 Barbeau EM, Leavy-Sperounis A, Balbach ED. Smoking, social class, and gender: what can public health learn from the tobacco industry about disparities in smoking? *Tob Control* 2004;13(02):115–120
- 27 Pfürtner TK, Rathmann K, Moor I, Kunst AE, Richter M. Social inequalities in adolescent smoking: A cross-national perspective of the role of individual and macro-structural factors. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz* 2016;59(2):206–216.
- 28 Siahpush M, McNeill A, Borland R, Fong GT. Socioeconomic variations in nicotine dependence, self-efficacy, and intention to quit across four countries: findings from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Four Country Survey. *Tob Control* 2006;15(03, Suppl 3):iii71–iii75
- 29 Arpey NC, Gaglioti AH, Rosenbaum ME. How socioeconomic status affects patient perceptions of health care: a qualitative study. *J Prim Care Community Health* 2017;8(03):169–175
- 30 Loring B. Tobacco and Inequities. Guidance for Addressing Inequities in Tobacco-Related Harm. World Health Organisation; 2014
- 31 Finke I, Behrens G, Weisser L, Brenner H, Jansen L. Socioeconomic differences and lung cancer survival—systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Oncol* 2018;8:536
- 32 Kuntz B, Waldhauer J, Moor I, et al. Trends in educational inequalities in smoking among adolescents in Germany: evidence from four population-based studies [in German]. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz* 2018;61(01):7–19
- 33 Rivera MP, Katki HA, Tanner NT, et al. Addressing disparities in lung cancer screening eligibility and healthcare access. An Official American Thoracic Society Statement. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020;202(07):e95–e112
- 34 Bach PB, Cramer LD, Warren JL, Begg CB. Racial differences in the treatment of early-stage lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1999;341(16):1198–1205
- 35 Hunt B, Balachandran B. Black:White disparities in lung cancer mortality in the 50 largest cities in the United States. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2015;39(06):908–916
- 36 DeSantis CE, Siegel RL, Sauer AG, et al. Cancer statistics for African Americans, 2016: progress and opportunities in reducing racial disparities. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2016;66(04):290–308
- 37 Ward E, Halpern M, Schrag N, et al. Association of insurance with cancer care utilization and outcomes. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2008;58(01):9–31
- 38 Slatore CG, Au DH, Gould MK American Thoracic Society Disparities in Healthcare Group. An official American Thoracic Society systematic review: insurance status and disparities in lung cancer practices and outcomes. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;182(09):1195–1205
- 39 Walker GV, Grant SR, Guadagnolo BA, et al. Disparities in stage at diagnosis, treatment, and survival in nonelderly adult patients with cancer according to insurance status. *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32(28):3118–3125

- 40 Niu X, Roche LM, Pawlish KS, Henry KA. Cancer survival disparities by health insurance status. *Cancer Med* 2013;2(03):403–411
- 41 Grenade C, Phelps MA, Villalona-Calero MA. Race and ethnicity in cancer therapy: what have we learned? *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2014;95(04):403–412
- 42 Jones CC, Mercaldo SF, Blume JD, et al. Racial disparities in lung cancer survival: the contribution of stage, treatment, and ancestry. *J Thorac Oncol* 2018;13(10):1464–1473
- 43 Johnson AM, Hines RB, Johnson JA III, Bayakly AR. Treatment and survival disparities in lung cancer: the effect of social environment and place of residence. *Lung Cancer* 2014;83(03):401–407
- 44 Baldwin DR. Socioeconomic position and delays in lung cancer diagnosis: should we target the more deprived? *Thorax* 2017;72(05):393–395
- 45 Chaudhuri S, Goel A, Awasthi A, et al. Disparity between metro-centric cancer care and rural outreach in India: Situational Analysis. *Journal of Global Oncology* 2018;4(Suppl 2):59s–59s
- 46 Williams DR, Mohammed SA, Leavell J, Collins C. Race, socioeconomic status, and health: complexities, ongoing challenges, and research opportunities. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2010;1186:69–101
- 47 Toubat O, Farias AJ, Atay SM, McFadden PM, Kim AW, David EA. Disparities in the surgical management of early stage non-small cell lung cancer: how far have we come? *J Thorac Dis* 2019;11(Suppl 4):S596–S611
- 48 Berglund A, Holmberg L, Tishelman C, Wagenius G, Eaker S, Lambe M. Social inequalities in non-small cell lung cancer management and survival: a population-based study in central Sweden. *Thorax* 2010;65(04):327–333
- 49 Berglund A, Lambe M, Luchtenborg M, et al. Social differences in lung cancer management and survival in South East England: a cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2012;2(03):e001048
- 50 IARC Scientific Publications. In: Vaccarella S, Lortet-Tieulent J, Saracci R, Conway DI, Straif K, Wild CP, eds. *Reducing Social Inequalities in Cancer: Evidence and Priorities for Research*. International Agency for Research on Cancer © International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2019. For more information contact publications@iarc.fr.
- 51 Forrest LF, Adams J, Rubin G, White M. The role of receipt and timeliness of treatment in socioeconomic inequalities in lung cancer survival: population-based, data-linkage study. *Thorax* 2015;70(02):138–145
- 52 Jack RH, Gulliford MC, Ferguson J, Møller H. Explaining inequalities in access to treatment in lung cancer. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2006;12(05):573–582